PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT A J Park & Son PO Box 949 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE Wellington 6001 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY **NEW ZEALAND** (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 5 NOV 2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 501729 MDA International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/NZ2004/000121 14 June 2004 13 June 2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int. Cl. 7 G06F 17/60 Applicant HANSEN, Paul et al This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer **AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE** PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA J.W. THOMSON E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Telephone No. (02) 6283 2214 Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

10/561370 IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 DEC 2005, International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT/NZ2004/000121

Box	No. I	Basis of the opini	on				
1.			his opinion has been est wise indicated under th		basis of the inter	national application in th	e language in
	the fo	ollowing language	ablished on the basis of , which i er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b	is the language		inguage into irnished for the purposes	of
,2 .			and/or amino acid seq has been established o		d in the internation	nal application and neces	ssary to the
	a. type of	material					•
		a sequence listing					
	لسسا	table(s) related to the	sequence listing				
		of material					
	<u></u>	n written format in computer readable	e form				•
		filing/furnishing					
		contained in the inter	mational application as	filed.			
·	=	_	e international applicat	_		.•	
	_ <u> </u>	urnished subsequent	tly to this Authority for	the purposes of	search.		
3. .						nd/or table relating theretor additional copies is ide	
			or does not go beyond				
4.	Additional	comments:					
					• •		
				•			
	-						
							•
	•	٠					
				•			
						· .	
			•			•	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000121

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement						
No	velty (N)	Claims	2-9, 11-17, 19-23	YES		
		Claims	1, 10, 18	NO		
Inv	entive step (IS)	Claims	·	YES		
		Claims	1-23	NO		
Ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-23	YES		
		Claims		NO		

2. Citations and explanations:

D1: 2002/0107821 A1

NOVELTY:

The invention defined in claims 1, 10 and 18 is not novel when compared with prior art document D1. There is no apparent feature of claims 1, 10 and 18 which distinguish the claimed invention from the citation.

INVENTIVE STEP:

Claims 1, 10 and 18 see above.

The features added by appended Claims 2-9, 11-17 and 19-23 appear to relate to features that are typical in devices of this type and therefore they cannot be considered as contributing an inventive step.